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Chalcopyrite semiconductor compounds, M\(^{III}\)M\(^{II}\)E\(_2\) (M\(^{III}\) = Cu, Ag; M\(^{II}\) = Al, Ga, In; E = S, Se, Te), have been prepared by microwave irradiation of appropriate mixtures of the pure elements in a domestic microwave oven and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis. Alloys of the type CuIn\(_x\)Se\(_{2-x}\) and CuIn\(_x\)Te\(_{2-x}\) (0 < x < 2) analyzed by XRD show that solid solutions are formed and there is no site preference for one chalcogenide over another. The crystallographic lattice parameters a and c were found to increase linearly rather than parabolically with increasing amounts of selenium. The exact atomic ratios of each element, as determined by EDX techniques, indicate that in all reactions the products are indium-rich p-type semiconductors. Attempts to prepare alloys of the type CuIn\(_x\)Te\(_{2-x}\) (0 < x < 2) resulted in a mixture of CuIn\(_2\), CuIn\(_x\)Te\(_2\), and CuIn\(_3\)Te\(_7\). The formation of CuIn\(_3\)Te\(_7\) appeared to be independent of the S:Te reagent ratio and possibly represents a limit of the sulfur solubility in CuInTe\(_2\). It does demonstrate that metastable phases usually precluded by traditional syntheses can be prepared by microwave irradiation. The formation of continuous sulfide–selenide and selenide–telluride solid solutions but not sulfide–telluride alloys is discussed with respect to the relative ionic radii of the chalcogenides and the 2-c/a (\(\alpha\)) values. While AgInSe\(_2\) forms only the tetragonal chalcopyrite phase, AgInS\(_2\) and AgInTe\(_2\) formed additional orthorhombic and cubic phases, respectively. No evidence for the formation of AgAlE\(_2\) (E = S, Se) were formed, albeit as poorly crystalline samples.

Introduction

Ternary metal chalcogenides such as CuInS\(_2\) and CuInSe\(_2\) have been the focus of much recent research. These “I–III–VI\(_2\)” semiconductors have direct bandgaps of 1.53 and 1.04 eV, respectively, making them ideal for terrestrial solar cell application. They also have a significant fabrication advantage over III–V semiconductors for solar cell applications, since polycrystalline films may be used, as opposed to epitaxial single crystal films. Although several methods have been reported for preparing these chalcopyrites as thin films (such as evaporation, sputtering, laser ablation, and chemical vapor deposition), many of these require the high temperatures, long reaction times, and specialized crystal films. Although several methods have been proposed as single-source precursors, how-
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Within the past few years, it has been suggested that microwave radiation may be used to increase the rate of selected chemical reactions. However, it is only recently that solid-state reactions involving metals have been attempted. In our laboratory, we have recently used microwave irradiation to synthesis samples of bulk CuInE\(_2\) (E = S, Se) in as little as 3 min. Furthermore,
the mixed chalcogenide CuInSSe was prepared without the concurrent formation of CuInS2 or/and CuInSe2. This suggests that microwave synthesis would enable the rapid and simple preparation of the solid solutions CuInExS2−x (E = S, Se, Te) with a high degree of control over the chalcogenide content. Furthermore, the rapid reaction rates possible during microwave irradiation open the possibility of the synthesis of metastable phases.

In this paper, we give full details of the synthesis of the chalcopyrite semiconductors, MIMIIIEz, and describe the use of microwave irradiation to prepare the solid solutions CuInExS2−x (0 < x < 2).

Results and Discussion

Synthesis of Copper Indium Dichalcogenides.

We have previously reported the synthesis of CuInS2, CuInSe2, and CuInTe2, via the microwave irradiation of stoichiometric amounts of the pure elements sealed under vacuum; cf. eqs 1 and 2.

\[ \text{Cu} + \text{In} + 2\text{E} \quad (\text{E} = \text{S, Se}) \rightarrow \text{CuInE}_2 \] (1)

\[ \text{Cu} + \text{In} + \text{S} + \text{Se} \rightarrow \text{CuInSSe} \] (2)

The synthesis of the tellurides CuInSeTe and CuInTe2 may be accomplished in a similar manner (see Experimental Section). As was also noted previously, all the samples show spherical crystals, consistent with their formation from a melt (see below).

A comparison of the XRD spectra of CuInE2 with reported data of known Cu−In−chalcogenide phases shows that in all cases the chalcopyrite phase is formed as the exclusive crystalline product. Table 1 gives the calculated interplanar \( d \) spacings for CuInSeTe and CuInTe2 along with the corresponding literature values (a similar table for CuInS2, CuInSe2, and CuInTe2 is given in ref 10). The data show that the products formed by microwave irradiation are identical to those formed by other means.11–13 The lack of any extraneous peaks confirms that the intended products are the only crystalline compounds formed. Other possible products with stoichiometries other than 1:1:2 (CuIn:chalcogen) are not formed as crystalline materials. In addition, the formation of CuInSe2 and CuInTe2 is not accompanied by that of the separate chalcogenides (CuInS2, CuInSe2, or CuInTe2), even for short reaction times.

X-ray photoelectron spectral (XPS) analysis of the products indicates that the constituents are not present in their elemental forms. Carbon and oxygen peaks observed are due to adventitious hydrocarbons adsorbed on the surface.

On the basis of EDX analysis of the crystalline particles, all the copper indium dichalcogenide materials prepared by microwave irradiation are higher in indium and lower in chalcogenide content, particularly sulfur, than would be expected from calculation. Both of these variations may be explained by the formation of a small amount of amorphous copper chalcogenides; additionally, in the case of sulfide materials, the low sulfur content may arise from the formation of polysulfides on the inner surface of the quartz reaction tubes.

Investigation of the Reaction Sequence. There are a number of possible pathways by which the copper, indium, and chalcogen can combine, and it is always difficult to determine the intimate mechanism of a solid state reaction. However, several additional reactions involving copper, indium, and sulfur were performed from which it was hoped a reaction sequence may be determined for chalcopyrite formation.

Since the temperatures reached are certainly high enough to melt indium (mp = 157 °C), one might envisage a multistep process in which the copper heats up and melts the indium, possibly forming a copper indium alloy which subsequently reacts with the sulfur (eq 3). It has recently been shown that CuIn alloy reacts with sulfur to give CuInS2:14

\[ \text{Cu} + \text{In} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{CuIn} + \text{S} \]

However, microwave irradiation of a stoichiometric mixture of copper and indium under the identical reaction conditions to that described above but in the absence of sulfur does not yield the CuIn alloy. Instead no reaction was observed as indicated by XRD. In fact, although the indium clearly melts, the copper and indium segregate, suggesting that the indium had melted but no dissolution of the copper had occurred.

A second possible reaction sequence is that indium and sulfur react to form In2S3, which subsequently reacts with copper and sulfur to give the chalcopyrite (eq 4).

\[ 2\text{In} + 3\text{S} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{In}_2\text{S}_3 \]

\[ \text{In}_2\text{S}_3 + 2\text{Cu} \quad \rightarrow \quad 2\text{CuInS}_2 \]

However, subjecting indium and sulfur to microwave irradiation does not give In2S3. In fact, no reaction occurs whatsoever and the reaction tube becomes only slightly warm to the touch following several minutes of microwave irradiation. This shows that the dielectric loss of indium is not high enough to create sufficient heat to initiate the reaction; for comparison, the conductivity of copper is 0.652 mho m\(^{-1}\), while that of indium is only 0.119 mho m\(^{-1}\).15

---

Scheme 1. Schematic Representation of Proposed Reaction Sequence for the Microwave Irradiation Promoted Formation of CuInS\textsubscript{2} from the Elements

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cu} + \text{In} + 2 \text{S} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{CuS} + \text{In} \xrightarrow{8} \text{CuInS}_2 \\
\text{copper heats rapidly, melts indium} & \\
\text{sulfur and copper react and dissolve in indium} & \\
\text{exothermic reaction keeps system molten, reaction and nucleation occur from melt} & \\
\text{CuS} + \text{In} + \text{S} & \\
\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{Cu} + \text{In} & \\
\text{CuInS}_2 & \\
\end{align*}
\]

The remaining possibility is that copper first reacts with sulfur to produce CuS and/or CuS\textsubscript{2}, which further react with indium and a remaining amount of sulfur, giving CuInS\textsubscript{2} (eq 5).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cu} + \text{S} & \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{Cu}_2\text{S} + \text{CuS} + \text{In} \xrightarrow{8} \text{CuInS}_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

Reacting either 1 or 2 equiv of copper with sulfur under microwave radiation in the absence of indium produces copper sulfides. In the former case, CuS was the only product formed, while in the latter case both CuS and CuS\textsubscript{2} were produced. This shows that the copper does indeed react with sulfur as the first step in the formation of CuInS\textsubscript{2} by microwave irradiation. Supporting evidence for this sequence is given by the observation that copper sulfide reacts with indium and a stoichiometric equivalent of sulfur (eq 6) to give CuInS\textsubscript{2} which is identical to samples prepared from the three elements, as confirmed by XRD. Presumably the heating of the indium is sufficient to thermally activate the reaction shown in eq 6 but not the reaction of the individual elements.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cu}_2\text{S} + 2\text{In} + 3\text{S} & \rightarrow 2\text{CuInS}_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

From these observations, we propose that the formation of copper indium dichalcogenides occurs as shown in Scheme 1: microwave irradiation causes copper to react with chalcogen to form the copper chalcogenide. Either the exothermic formation of the copper chalcogenide or the heating of the copper causes the indium to melt. Dissolution of the copper chalcogenide and the remaining chalcogen in the molten indium produces a homogeneous liquid melt from which the chalcopyrite crystallizes. This proposal is consistent with the morphology of the chalcopyrite product, since the spheres produced during the microwave process would be expected to form from a liquid melt.


Synthesis of Mixed Copper Indium Chalcogenide Solid Solutions. One of the advantages of the copper indium chalcogenide (CuIn\textsubscript{E2}) semiconductors is that by changing the identity of the chalcogenide (i.e., sulfur or selenium) the bandgap (V\textsubscript{g}) may be altered, allowing the compounds to be used as either the top (window) material (E = S, V\textsubscript{g} = 1.53 eV) or the bottom (collector) material (E = Se, V\textsubscript{g} = 1.04 eV) in an individual cell. Since, in addition to changes in the bandgap, the lattice parameters of CuIn\textsubscript{E2} are dependent on the identity of the chalcogenide (E = S, a = 5.53, c = 11.16 Å; Se, a = 5.79, c = 11.64 Å; Te, a = 6.18, c = 12.38 Å), it would be desirable to obtain information on the formation of solid solutions (alloys) CuIn\textsubscript{E2},\textsubscript{E5}, where the composition variable (x) varies from 0 to 2. The Cu\textsubscript{1-x}Al\textsubscript{x}In\textsubscript{1-x}Ga\textsubscript{x}Se\textsubscript{2-x}Te\textsubscript{x},\textsubscript{15} the pentenary sulfides and selenides,\textsubscript{17} the CuME\textsubscript{2} (M = Al, Ga, In and X = S, Se),\textsubscript{18} as well as the CuInSe\textsubscript{2-x}Te\textsubscript{x} system have been examined.\textsubscript{19}

As noted in the Introduction the most common method of producing bulk quantities of the copper indium dichalcogenides is furnace heating of the elements, a rather time-consuming process which may involve reaction times of 4 days, due to the repeated heating and cooling cycles required to purify the products. Further drawbacks of this method include pressurization with sulfur or selenium, expensive glassware, and heating to temperatures above 1000 °C may be required. The large number of related samples required to study solid solution formation makes furnace heating of the elements an unappealing method of producing such compounds, however, microwave synthesis is eminently suitable for such a study.

A stoichiometric mixture of the elements required for each of the chalcopyrites, CuIn\textsubscript{E2}Se\textsubscript{x} (0 < x < 2) was sealed under vacuum in a quartz tube and subjected to microwave irradiation for three minutes (see Experimental Section). We have previously observed that depending on the scale of the reaction, and the identity of the chalcopyrite, the required reaction time may vary from 1 to 3 min. In the present case the longer time was chosen to ensure complete reaction.

The products were uniformly ground to enable characterization by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) as well as energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. Scanning electron microscopy shows the samples to consist primarily of large spheres and blocks whose EDX analysis is consistent with the chalcopyrites, CuIn\textsubscript{E2}Se\textsubscript{x}, while a small amount of the small quantity of irregularly shaped material analyzed as copper chalcogenides. Therefore, EDX analysis of the crystalline particles, rather than the mass balance of the starting materials, was used to determine the relative content of sulfur and selenium for comparison with the XRD data; see below. However, the relationship between the selenium content in the feed and that as determined by EDX was near linear, see Figure 1.
Figure 1. Relationship between the selenium content in the feed versus the product as determined by EDX for the synthesis of CuInS$_2$Se$_x$.

Figure 2. SEI micrograph (top) and associated back scattering image (bottom) of a representative sample of CuInS$_{0.5}$Se$_{1.5}$.

Figure 3. XRD spectrum of CuInS$_{0.5}$Se$_{1.5}$. Miller indices of each reflection for the tetragonal chalcopyrite cell are indicated.

While the XRD analysis indicated the presence of solid solutions and not physical mixtures or ordered structures, for the crystalline material present, we have employed back scattering to demonstrate the uniformity of the chalcogenide distribution in the entire sample. In Figure 2 is shown a SEI micrograph (top) and the associated back scattered image (bottom) of one of the large particles of CuInS$_{0.5}$Se$_{1.5}$. From the SEI micrograph several smaller (presumably amorphous) particles are observed to be stuck to the surface of the larger crystalline particle. However, from the back scattering image it can be clearly seen that the elemental composition of these small particles are essentially identical to that of the larger ones. On the basis of the EDX analysis, the microwave-promoted reaction of copper, indium, sulfur, and selenium results in an essentially uniform material CuInS$_2$Se$_x$. This is in line with our previous results, which indicated that while the reaction of the elements was completed in a matter of seconds crystallization occurred over a number of minutes.

A comparison of the XRD spectra with reported data of known phases confirms that in all the samples the chalcopyrite structure is formed as the exclusive crystalline product. The sharp peaks and high counts indicate that the products are well-formed polycrystalline materials. Furthermore the presence of only a single crystalline phase confirms the formation of solid solutions as opposed to a physical mixture of products. A representative XRD diffraction pattern for CuInS$_{0.5}$Se$_{1.5}$ is shown in Figure 3. The tetragonal lattice parameters $a$ and $c$ for CuInS$_2$Se$_x$ were determined for each value of $x$, and are given in Table 2.

As would be expected from a consideration of the relative ionic radii of sulfur (1.84 Å) and selenium (1.98 Å), the lattice parameters of the copper indium sulfide selenide alloys increase with increased selenium content. Figure 4 shows plots of the selenium “concentration variable” ($x$), as determined from the EDX analysis of the crystalline particles, versus the lattice parameters $a$ and $c$. Vergard’s law requires the lattice constant for a linear solution of two semiconductors to vary linearly with composition, however, the plots for CuInS$_2$Se$_x$ ($0 \leq x \leq 2$) are also best described by the parabolic relationships shown in eqs 7 and 8. Such a parabolic behavior has been observed previously for other systems.

\begin{align}
    a &= 5.532 + 0.0801x + 0.0260x^2, \quad R = 0.993 \quad (7) \\
    c &= 11.156 + 0.1204x + 0.0611x^2, \quad R = 0.991 \quad (8)
\end{align}

The accuracy of the lattice parameter versus selenium content curves suggests that they may be used to determine the sulfur and selenium concentrations of copper indium sulfide–selenide samples whose S:Se ratio is unknown. Thus, XRD analysis can be used to determine the sulfur-to-selenium ratio of a crystalline sample. Determination of the bandgaps of the com-

Table 2. Lattice Parameters of CuInS$_{2-x}$Se$_x$ Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CuInS$_{2-x}$Se$_x$</th>
<th>lattice parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x^a$</td>
<td>$a$ (Å)</td>
<td>$c$ (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.532</td>
<td>11.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>5.565</td>
<td>11.203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.549</td>
<td>5.581</td>
<td>11.237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.853</td>
<td>5.608</td>
<td>11.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>5.633</td>
<td>11.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.208</td>
<td>5.680</td>
<td>11.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.575</td>
<td>5.723</td>
<td>11.502</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.749</td>
<td>5.758</td>
<td>11.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>5.790</td>
<td>11.633</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Composition variable ($x$) based on EDX data.

Figure 4. Plots of the lattice parameters, $a$ (Å) and $c$ (Å), versus the composition variable $x$ in the CuInS$_{2-x}$Se$_x$ system. The lattice parameters are for the chalcopyrite \( I-4_2/d \) tetragonal unit cell.

not observed since all the samples show no additional reflections other than those that may be indexed to a tetragonal cell. In addition, a plot of $c$ versus $a$ (Figure 5) is nearly linear; the ratio $c/a$ remains the same within experimental error as $x$ varies from 0 to 2, suggesting no site preference for the selenide substitution.

The CuInSe$_{2-x}$Te$_x$ alloys may be readily produced by microwave irradiation in a manner analogous to that described above (see Table 3). The parabolic relationship (see Figure 6) between $x$ and the lattice parameters (eqs 9 and 10) are in reasonable agreement with those obtained in the previous studies.\(^{10,22}\) As with the sulfide selenide system the variation of $c$ with respect to $a$ occurs in a linear manner (Figure 7).

\[
\begin{align*}
a &= 5.783 + 0.1560x + 0.0212x^2, \quad R = 0.993 \quad \text{(9)} \\
c &= 11.628 + 0.3340x + 0.0277x^2, \quad R = 0.998 \quad \text{(10)}
\end{align*}
\]

Figure 5. Plot of the lattice parameter $c$ (Å) versus $a$ (Å), for the chalcopyrite systems CuInS$_{2-x}$Se$_x$ (0 < $x$ < 2), $R = 0.998$.

Figure 6. Plots of the lattice parameters, $a$ (Å) and $c$ (Å), versus the composition variable $x$ in the CuInSe$_{2-x}$Te$_x$ system. The lattice parameters are for the chalcopyrite \( I-4_2/d \) tetragonal unit cell.

Substitution of Se for S in the chalcopyrite structure could possibly occur in a random manner, i.e., a chalcogenide site has an equal probability of containing a sulfur or a selenium atom. Alternatively, if the selenium had preference for specific sites a superlattice structure of lower symmetry would be present, as confirmed by simulating the XRD. Such an ordering is

Table 3. Lattice Parameters of CuInSe$_{2-x}$Te$_x$ Alloys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CuInSe$_{2-x}$Te$_x$</th>
<th>lattice parameters</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x^a$</td>
<td>$a$ (Å)</td>
<td>$c$ (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>5.774</td>
<td>11.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>5.801</td>
<td>11.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>5.845</td>
<td>11.751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>5.903</td>
<td>11.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>5.930</td>
<td>11.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.300</td>
<td>6.022</td>
<td>12.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.500</td>
<td>6.063</td>
<td>12.179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.760</td>
<td>6.117</td>
<td>12.255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>6.188</td>
<td>12.452</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Composition variable ($x$) based on EDX data.
Figure 7. Plot of the lattice parameter $c$ (Å) versus $a$ (Å), for the chalcopyrite systems CuIn$_{x}$Sn$_{1-x}$Se$_{2}$ ($0 < x < 2$), $R = 0.998$.

Figure 8. XRD spectrum of CuInSeTe, showing peak broadening not observed for other chalcopyrites prepared by microwave irradiation.

to those obtained for CuIn$_{x}$Se$_{3}$ under identical reaction conditions. There are two possible reasons why this broadening may occur. First, is that the particle size of CuIn$_{x}$Se$_{2}$ is smaller than that of the CuIn$_{2}$ and CuIn$_{x}$Se$_{2}$$_{3}$ analogues. Second, the greater difference in ionic radii between Se$^{2-}$ (1.98 Å) and Te$^{2-}$ (2.21 Å), as compared to S$^{2-}$ (1.84 Å) and Se$^{2-}$, produces distortions in the crystal lattice. If the latter is true, then the XRD of CuInSTe would be expected to show even broader peaks, since the ionic radius of S$^{2-}$ is significantly smaller than that of Te$^{2-}$. However, reactions between stoichiometric amounts of copper, indium, sulfur, and tellurium do not produce CuInSTe; XRD clearly shows peaks for both CuIn$_{2}$ and CuInTe$_{2}$ as the major species. Close inspection of a slow scan XRD of the reaction products revealed a third species; presumably some sulfide–telluride alloy.

To better understand the sulfide–telluride system a study of the mixtures (CuIn$_{x}$S$_{2}$$_{2}$$_{0}$$_{.5}$$_{0}$$_{.5}$$_{0}$$_{1}$$_{.5}$$_{x}$$_{2}$ x = 0.0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0) was performed to determine if the X-ray diffraction peaks for each compound shifted with composition (an indication of solid solution formation) or remained stationary (an indication of segregation). Representative examples (x = 0.5 and 1.5) of XRD of the products following microwave irradiation are shown in Figure 9. In each case, the intensities of the peaks for CuIn$_{2}$ and CuInTe$_{2}$ increased or decreased depending on concentration of the respective chalcogenide. However, no shifts along the horizontal (2θ) axis were observed. Instead, a third set of peaks for a tetragonal cell are found, indicating that a small of a mixed sulfide–telluride chalcopyrite is formed. Based upon the relative $d$ spacings and lattice parameters, an estimate of the composition assuming a near linear relationship: CuIn$_{0.3}$Te$_{1.7}$. The formation of this species is independent of the relative S:Te ratio and would thus suggest that this is the maximum solubility (miscibility) of sulfide in CuInTe$_{2}$; approximately one sulfur per unit cell.

Reaction of a stoichiometric mixture of the elements required for the chalcopyrite, CuIn$_{x}$Te$_{2}$ ($x > 1.7$) does not yield the alloy phase, as determined by XRD, but a mixture of CuIn$_{2}$ and CuInTe$_{2}$. This result suggests that the alloy, CuIn$_{0.3}$Te$_{1.7}$, represents the limit of sulfide solubility in CuInTe$_{2}$. In addition, the formation of CuIn$_{0.3}$Te$_{1.7}$ requires the relative concentration of sulfur to be higher than the solubility limit.

The segregation of CuIn$_{2}$ and CuInTe$_{2}$ is further in evidence from a consideration of the SEM and associated back scattering image of a sample of the copper indium sulfide telluride (Figure 10, top). As is clearly seen from the back-scattered image (Figure 10, bottom), there are two distinct compositional zones. The lighter area analyzes (by EDX) as CuIn$_{2}$ with traces of tellurium, while the darker region analyzes as CuInTe$_{2}$ with traces of sulfur, suggesting that the CuIn$_{0.3}$Te$_{1.7}$ formed is dissolved in the segregated phases.

Robbins et al. have proposed that the miscibility of ternary chalcopyrites is dependent on the differences between the respective $2c/a$ ($\Delta$) values. On the basis of our experimental data for CuIn$_{2}$ the $\Delta$ values for the sulfide, selenide, and telluride are -0.0161, -0.0080, and -0.0120, respectively. Values based on literature
Figure 10. SEI micrograph (top) and associated back scattering image (bottom) of a representative sample of a sample of copper indium sulfide telluride.

data for the stoichiometric compounds are -0.018 08, -0.010 36, and -0.003 236. Given that \( \Delta \) for our indium rich (p-type) CuInS\(_2\) is actually closer to that for CuInTe\(_2\) than for CuInSe\(_2\), and the \( \Delta \) values clearly are different from those of the stoichiometric compounds it would seem that \( \Delta \) is not a good indication of miscibility. Furthermore, we note that there is a general trend of \( \Delta \) with compositional variable for the sulfide–selenide alloys (see Figure 11a); however, for the selenide–telluride alloys \( \Delta \) is nearly independent of \( x \) (Figure 11b). Thus, it would appear that the variation in ionic radii (\( r \)) of the chalcogenide ion is a far better indication for alloy formation in this series of homologous materials.

The formation of the CuIn\(_{0.5}\)Te\(_{1.7}\) phase, albeit in low yield and as a contaminant within CuIn\(_2\) and CuInTe\(_2\), is of interest in considering the difference between traditional thermal synthesis and that using microwave irradiation. Grima et al. have reported\(^{(23)}\) that upon thermal annealing of the constituent elements a miscibility gap was observed over a wide range. However, their XRD results indicated no separate crystalline phase characteristic as CuIn\(_{0.5}\)Te\(_{1.7}\). It appears, therefore, that the sulfide–telluride alloy formation is “trapped” as a metastable phase during the rapid reaction conditions of microwave synthesis. It should

Figure 11. Plot of \( \Delta \) with compositional variable for the sulfide–selenide alloys (a) and the selenide–telluride alloys (b).

be possible therefore to synthesize other metastable phases via microwave irradiation.

**Formation of Related Ternary Metal Chalcopyrites.** Attempts to replace copper with silver in reactions similar to those described above produced compounds analogous to those described in the literature (see Table 1). While samples of AgInS\(_2\) and AgInSe\(_2\) showed the formation of the tetragonal chalcopyrite phase, AgInS\(_2\) forms an additional orthorhombic phase, previously reported as a high-temperature polymorph. In contrast, AgInTe\(_2\) appeared to yield a cubic phase (JCPDS-23-638), reported as a high-pressure form, as the major product. The formation of a high temperature phase of AgInS\(_2\) may be rationalized given the high temperatures achieved during microwave irradiation. However, the formation of a high-pressure phase for AgInTe\(_2\) is unexpected.

Replacing indium with aluminum in attempts to form CuAlE\(_2\) (E = S, Se, Te) met with varying success. Low peak intensities, as determined by XRD, indicated that these compounds are not as well-formed as the indium analogues. In addition, the telluride could not be prepared at all; EDX analysis indicated that a large amount of aluminum metal remained following reaction and that copper telluride was the only product formed. There are two possible explanations for these results. First, since aluminum forms a native oxide, the ability of the aluminum metal to react with the copper and chalcogen is significantly diminished. Second, the aluminum particles were much larger than the copper particles (30 mesh versus 325 mesh). Since the ability of the compounds to react when subjected to microwave radiation is dependent upon their ability to distribute charge on their surface evenly and therefore on particle size, this may have some effect on the reactivity of the

aluminum. Furthermore, producing a homogeneous mixture is simply more difficult with larger particles. Less homogeneous mixtures promote the formation of pockets of compounds with widely varying composition; for example, layering copper, indium, and sulfur rather than grinding them together was found to produce only copper sulfides and starting materials.

No reaction was observed between silver, aluminum, and chalcogenides. EDX analysis showed only silver chalcogenides and unreacted starting materials. Attempts to form solid solutions using silver or aluminum in the place of copper or indium, respectively, were unsuccessful. As discussed above, aluminum does not appear to react well by microwave irradiation, and the propensity of silver indium sulfide and telluride to form more than one crystalline phase prevents the clean isolation of a solid solution which only contains the smaller the metal particles the more uniform the composition and crystallinity of the product. Last, the product cannot be highly conducting or excessive charge buildup will cause arcing in the microwave.

**Experimental Section**

Elemental sulfur, copper (99.7%, 100 mesh) and selenium (99.5%, 325 mesh) were purchased from commercial sources. Indium (99.99%, 325 mesh) was donated by the Indium Corporation of America. All metal and chalcogenide powders were stored in the dry box under argon. Three-inch-long quartz reaction tubes were blown from 6/10 mm (i.d./o.d.) tubing purchased from Quartz Plus, Inc. A Sharp Carousel II “Half Pint” microwave oven operating at 400 W and 2450 MHz was used for all experiments.

To enable XRD analysis, samples were ground into fine powders and mounted on glass slides prior to analysis. Data were collected on a Scintag diffractometer operating at 45 kV and 35 mA. Diffraction management systems (DMS) software operating on a micro-VAX computer was used to interpret the data. Lower symmetry structures involving order of the selenium can be simulated using the programs XP and XPOW. SEM and associated EDX analyses studies were performed on a JEOL JSM-35 scanning microscope. A small amount of the as-synthesized material was attached to an aluminum stub with graphite paint.

**Synthesis of Ternary Metal Dichalcogenides.**

The synthesis of CuInSx is given below. Syntheses of all dichalcogenide compounds, including those involving silver and/or aluminum, were performed in a similar manner. Elemental sulfur (0.300 g, 9.38 mmol) was intimately mixed with stoichiometric amounts of copper (0.298 g, 4.69 mmol) and indium (0.538 g, 4.69 mmol) with a mortar and pestle in a drybox. The mixture was then placed in a quartz tube, sealed under vacuum, and laid on a firebrick base inside a domestic microwave oven. The sample was then irradiated for 1 min, after which the microwave cavity and the reaction tube were hot. The previously reddish mixture had become completely gray. The tube was shaken to redistribute its contents and was then replaced in the microwave oven. The process was repeated twice, for a total of 3 min. After the third time, the quartz tube was removed from the oven and allowed to cool to room temperature before being broken open. The product was a crystalline, bluish-gray powder.

**Formation of Mixed Copper Indium Chalcogenide Solid Solutions.**

All syntheses were performed in a similar manner, altering the amounts of sulfur, selenium, and/or tellurium which were used. As an example the synthesis of CuInSx,Se,Te,x (x = 0.25) is described below. In an inert atmosphere drybox elemental sulfur (0.176 g, 5.51 mmol), copper (0.200 g, 3.15 mmol), indium (0.362 g, 3.15 mmol), and selenium (0.062 g, 0.787 mmol) were intimately mixed by grinding, to visual homogeneity, with a mortar and pestle. The mixture was placed in a quartz tube, sealed under vacuum, and placed on an asbestos firebrick in the microwave oven. The sample was then irradiated for 1 min, after which the sample was removed from the microwave oven, shaken to redistribute its contents, and then replaced in the microwave oven. The irradiation/shaking process was repeated twice, for a total of 3 min. After the third cycle, the tube was removed from the microwave oven and allowed to cool to room temperature before being broken open. The products were then poured from the tube as gray, crystalline powders.
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